

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. RFRA DEFENSE: THE LEGAL STANDARD.....	1
A. Prima Facie Case Under RFRA.....	2
B. Compelling Government Interest/Least Restrict Means Exception.....	5
II. REVEREND AND SHERRYANNE CHRISTIE’S PROFFER OF EVIDENCE IS SUFFICIENT TO DEMONSTRATE A PRIMA FACIE CASE UNDER RFRA .....	7
III. THE GOVERNMENT CANNOT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE INSTANT PROSECUTION IS IN FURTHERANCE OF A COMPELLING GOVERNMENT INTEREST, OR THAT IT IS THE LEAST RESTRICT MEANS OF FURTHERING ITS ASSERTED INTERESTS.....	13
A. The Government Cannot Demonstrate that It Has a Compelling Interest to Substantially Burden These Particular Defendants’ Exercise of Their Religion In this Case.....	13
B. Law Makers and Law Enforcement Officials Are No Longer Vigorously Pursuing Criminal Charges Involving Cannabis.....	14
C. The Government Cannot Meet Its Burden by Arguing that Cannabis Is Dangerous .....	16
IV. REVEREND AND SHERRYANNE CHRISTIE HAVE A FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO PRESENT THEIR RFRA DEFENSE TO THE JURY .....	18