Since the **O** Centro court ruled that the **Sherbert** and **Yoder** tests must be applied in a religious use of Schedule I drugs case, since the **O** Centro court cited the religious use of marijuana cases reported below as if those marijuana cases used the **Sherbert** and **Yoder** tests where they did not use those tests, it is apparent that the **O** Centro decisions are contradictory within themselves. This Court should make a factual examination of the **O** Centro use of those cases to determine whether or not those cases are in fact contradictory to the **O** Centro rulings; whether they are relevant under RFRA.

8. O Centro is not the only federal report that mandates the Sherbert and Yoder tests be applied to the drug laws. The court in United States v. Bauer, 75 F.3d 1366 (9th Cir. 1996)(Bauer hereafter) rules that the Sherbert and Yoder tests must be applied to a religious use of marijuana case. Bauer is the first published case that recognizes the Plain Error of the Leary case and overturns it. Bauer finds that RFRA requires the Sherbert and Yoder tests to be applied to all federal laws. Bauer reports how Leary is invalid under RFRA because Leary specifically exempts the federal drug laws from the Sherbert test.

On page 1373 and 1375 the **Bauer** court notes how **Leary** is invalid.

"Relying on several earlier appellate cases, the district court held, however, 'that the government has an overriding interest in regulating marijuana'. The district court quoted **Leary**..."

On page 1375 -

"The district court treated the **existence of the marijuana laws as dispositive** of the question whether the government had chosen the least restrictive means of preventing the sale and distribution of marijuana. . . The district court relied on a <u>drug case decided before</u> the enactment of RFRA (**Leary**). . . We do not exclude the possibility that the government may show that the least restrictive means of preventing the sale and distribution of marijuana is universal enforcement of the marijuana laws. "**Under RFRA**, **however**, the government had the obligation, "**first** to show that the application of these laws to the defendants was in